



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,786	12/15/2000	Kevin McCombe	40101/01101	3798
30636	7590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER	
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP			BAROT, BHARAT	
150 BROADWAY, SUITE 702 NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2155	8
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/738,786	MCCOMBE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bharat N Barot	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This action is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the origina	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06</u> .	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/738,786

Art Unit: 2155

• 14

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morwood (U.S. Patent No. 6,470,346) in view of Circenis (U.S. Patent No. 6,026,424).

Application/Control Number: 09/738,786

Art Unit: 2155

3. As to claim 1, Morwood disclose a system for managing a plurality of client processes (see abstract; figures 1-2; and column 2 lines 33-67), comprising: a client task within which the client processes will be executed (column 1 lines 50-63; column 2 lines 33-67; and column 14 line 64 to column 15 line 52); and a manager task running at a higher priority than the client task, the manager task queuing the client processes into the client task in priority order (figures 2-3; column 4 lines 15-22; and column 8 line 16 to column 9 line 28).

However, Morwood does not explicitly disclose that the manager task kills the client task when a current one of the client processes is not completed within a predetermined time period.

Circenis explicitly discloses that the manager task kills the client task when a current one of the client processes is not completed within a predetermined time period (see abstract; figures 1 and 5; column 5 lines 25-67; column 7 lines 41-67; and column 8 lines 28-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Circenis as stated above with the system of Morwood for managing a plurality of client processes because it would have enabled a client process to control network communication traffic, intelligently managing network bandwidth, and avoiding bottlenecks.

Art Unit: 2155

- 4. As to claims 2-3, Morwood disclose that the manager task restarts the client task and queues a next one of the client processes into the client task or requeues the current client process into the client task (figures 3, 11, and 14; column 9 lines 9-21; column 12 lines 16-37; and column 13 lines 24-47).
- 5. As to claims 4-5, Morwood disclose that the client task sends a response to the manager task indicating the execution of the current client process is complete; and the manager task, when receiving the response from the client task, queues a next one of the client processes into the client task (column 9 lines 4-21; column 10 lines 51-64; and column 12 lines 6-37).
- 6. As to claims 6-10, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-5 above, since claims 6-10 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-5.
- 7. As to claim 11, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1 above, since claim 11 is merely a computer-readable storage medium storing a set of instructions to manage the apparatus defined in the claim 1.

### **Additional Reference**

- 8. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.
  - a. Ayoub et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,801,690.

## **Contact Information**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (703) 305-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, can be reached at (703) 308-6662. A central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

April 22, 2004

BHARAT BAROT

BHARAT FYAMINER